

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

14 June 1956

COMINCHUR

25X1

EAST GERMANY/VP-SEE Mission, Russian Advisers, Schools and Depots, Relation with VP-GRENZEE

ADVANCED REPORT FOR NAVY USE ONLY

VP-SEE has been given a defensive role by the Russians as evidenced by ship construction programs and war games. Russian advisers were present at VP-SEE units. They only made recommendations but followed closely the activities of the VP-SEE. Naval schools known [redacted] are listed along with storage depots. At present VP-GRENZEE does not come under VP-SEE. It is believed that in the near future VP-GRENZEE will be dissolved.

1. Mission.

VP-SEE is a pure defense force with corresponding tasks ("Aufgaben"). So-called "Planspiele" (strategical games) have been conducted at VP-SEE headquarters almost once every month for some years with many VP-SEE officers participating. A favorite situation was that VP-SEE forces had to sail from ODEK and PEENE River mouths and land troops to the rear of enemy forces invading SOVIETEE territory from the west. Development and construction of POKLIM and NAI classes were to be speeded up as they were to be the backbone of present SOVIETEE naval forces. Ships of destroyer size and bigger have always been forbidden to the VP-SEE by the Russians. Designing and developing the 2 LABO classes (landing craft) had priority since early 1956 though this project had only become known in November/December 1955. I.S.E. adm chief KLESITZ believed they were not for VP-SEE but for the USSR instead. Requirements had not been specified clearly enough and, above all, I.S.E. had not obtained any data on tanks to be ferried by them.

ADVANCED REPORT FOR NAVY USE ONLY**CONFIDENTIAL**

25X1

NAVY review

CONFIDENTIAL

COMBAYGER

ADVANCED REPORT FOR NAVY USE ONLY

14 June 1956

25X1

2. Russian interference.a. Advisers.

There were a number of Russian advisers, officially called "Berater", in ROSTOCK VP-SKA headquarters building and with individual VP-SKA units (squadrons and shore establishments). They were Russian naval officers a few of whom wore Russian naval uniforms, but the majority were VP-SKA uniforms. All of them spoke German. These advisers never made any decisions and never ordered anything. They only made recommendations to VP-SKA officers. Their recommendations were not specific and their interpretation was left completely to the VP-SKA officers. VP-SKA chief admiral VONMANN also had a Russian admiral as an adviser who also only recommended to VONMANN.

b. "Technisch-taktische Aufgabenstellung".

This was the technical term for any Russian demand to VP-SKA headquarters at ROSTOCK concerning the design of a new class of ships. It contained a very general outline of what type ship the Russians wanted and did not disclose how similar ships in the Russian Navy looked. VP-SKA personnel concerned had enough leeway to let the Russians know their thoughts concerning it. VP-SKA headquarters forwarded "Technisch-taktische Aufgabenstellung" to their designing office, I.B. at CIGASF asking them to submit a preliminary draft called "Typskizze". This was discussed at VP-SKA headquarters between VP-SKA officers, concerned and their Russian advisers who made recommendations. Upon return of "Typskizze" together with Russian recommendations (alterations), I.B. prepared and added a 2nd draft called "Vorprojekt". This came very close to the final design of the ship-class concerned and was also submitted to VP-SKA headquarters where it was discussed like the "Typskizze". Upon return of "Vorprojekt" together with new Russian recommendations (alterations), I.B. prepared a final draft called "Technisches Projekt". Procedure at VP-SKA headquarters was as before. However, 1 copy of "Technisches Projekt" had to be sent to MOSSOW for approval. Construction of the new class of ships was not to begin before MOSSOW had approved "Technisches Projekt". However, VP-SKA usually started building the new class of ships concerned before receiving MOSSOW's approval. Sometimes, the final draft had to be altered again in accordance with changes recommended by MOSSOW through their admiral advising VP-SKA admiral VONMANN. Even these MOSSOW alterations were not clearly expressed and it was always left to VP-SKA officers and engineers concerned as to how to make the alterations. Another complication was the fact that VP-SKA shipbuilding department never knew beforehand what type of guns would be mounted in any new ship-class as the guns were sent from the USSR to the shipyard in question without advance notice.

25X1

3. Naval schools.

The existence of the following naval schools had become known:

- line-officer_candidate_school SCHAUERMECHANIK IN STRALendorf
- line-officer_candidate_school

ADVANCED REPORT FOR NAVY USE ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL**COMNAVGER**

14 June 1956

ADVANCED REPORT FOR NAVY USE ONLY

officer candidate school in PAROW, engineering-officer candidate school in KUEHLUNGSBORN. A petty-officer (engineering) candidate school was [redacted] to be likewise accommodated in above KUEHLUNGSBORN naval barracks. A radio-communications school was [redacted] to be accommodated in above SCHWEDENSCHANZE naval barracks because of the unusual amount of antennas noticed [redacted] in the site. A naval artillery school was [redacted] to be accommodated in above PAROW naval barracks because of an extra building on the site equipped with several guns for gunnery training. One of these guns was mounted on a SCHLINGERSTAND (rolling stand?) The latter had been installed in 1954/55 and was hydraulically operated. VP-SEE recruits received boot training in the above PAROW naval barracks compound. [redacted] no other VP-SEE schools existed.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

4. Storage depots.

[redacted] never heard of any VP-SEE depots other than WOLGAST and PAROW. It is not known how many other depots there are. WOLGAST depot had the number 12.

a. WOLGAST depot.

25X1

Whenever SOVIET firms had to ship items to VP-SEE, they always used the shipping address "I.V.L. 12, WOLGAST". Thence, items concerned were reforwarded to their final VP-SEE destinations. It is assumed that the WOLGAST X depot was used for general shipboard and personnel equipment such as lifeboats, rafts, diesel engines ranging between 10 to 20 and 300 HP, rubber boats, signal ammunition (the latter kept in a special above-ground pen), etc. An alleged ammunition storage in the OCHSENBERG on PEENE River eastbank opposite WOLGAST depot [redacted]

25X1

b. PAROW depot.

Another smaller depot existed in PAROW where large amounts of electrical and radio-communications equipment were kept.

5. VP-GRENZER.

VP-GRENZER's mission was "coast protection" exclusively. Naval craft of VP-GRENZER were not under VP-SEE command and did not co-operate with VP-SEE craft. Their fleet numbered about 12 KS boats. This will be XMT reinforced by about 6 TURMELER-class units to be transferred from VP-SEE sometime in 1956. No craft have been built for VP-GRENZER since 1953. Since then they have received only old VP-SEE craft. VP-SEE's Admiral VERNER was anxious to take over the mission of VP-GRENZER and it is believed that the fleet of VP-GRENZER WILL BE DISSOLVED BEFORE LONG. The term GRENZPOLIZEI-SEE was not known [redacted]

25X1

ADVANCED REPORT FOR NAVY USE ONLY**CONFIDENTIAL**

Page Denied